Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,409	BUSCEMA, PAOLO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	SON T. HOANG	2165	
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowable			
(1) <u>SON T. HOANG (Examiner)</u> .	(3)		
(2) FRANCO SERAFINI (Attorney of record).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 April 2010	<u>7</u> Time: <u>14:00</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Final Office action mailed on July 30, 2009.			
Claims discussed: 72, 77-78, 82, 87-88, 99, 103-104, 108, 112-113, 131, and 136-137.			
Prior art documents discussed: Agrafitotis (Pub. No. US 2002/0091655) and Shmulevich (Pub. No. US 2003/0225718)			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165 /S. T. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2165 (A)	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- Examiner suggested Applicant to clarify the terms "marriage" and "mutation" in all independent claims.
 Examiner suggested Applicant to add claims 77-78 into claim 72 and make similar amendments for all independent claims.
- 3. Applicant agreed to Examiner's suggestion and authorized an examiner's amendment.